

SPONSOR: Sen. Pinkney & Rep. Dorsey Walker Reps. Baumbach, Kowalko

#### DELAWARE STATE SENATE 151st GENERAL ASSEMBLY

### SENATE BILL NO. 147

# AN ACT TO AMEND TITLE 11 OF THE DELAWARE CODE RELATING TO USE OF FORCE.

## BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE:

- 1 Section 1. Amend § 464, Title 11 of the Delaware Code by making deletions as shown by strike through and
- 2 insertions as shown by underline as follows:
- 3 § 464. Justification Use of force in self-protection.
- 4 (a) The use of force upon or toward another person is justifiable when the defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes that such

5 force is immediately necessary for the purpose of protecting the defendant against the use of unlawful force by the other

- 6 person on the present occasion.
- (b) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (d) and (e) of this section, a person employing protective force
  may estimate the necessity thereof under the circumstances as the person <u>reasonably</u> believes them to be when the force is
  used, without retreating, surrendering possession, doing any other act which the person has no legal duty to do or abstaining
  from any lawful action.
- (c) The use of deadly force is justifiable under this section if the defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes that such force is necessary to protect the defendant against death, serious physical injury, kidnapping or sexual intercourse compelled by force or threat.
- (d) The use of force is not justifiable under this section to resist an arrest which the defendant knows or shouldknow is being made by a peace officer, whether or not the arrest is lawful.
- 16 (e) The use of deadly force is not justifiable under this section if:
- 17 (1) The defendant, with the purpose of causing death or serious physical injury, provoked the use of force
  18 against the defendant in the same encounter; or
- (2) The defendant knows that the necessity of using deadly force can be avoided with complete safety by
   retreating, by surrendering possession of a thing to a person asserting a claim of right thereto or by complying with a
   demand that the defendant abstain from performing an act which the defendant is not legally obligated to perform
- 22 except that:

23

a. The defendant is not obliged to retreat in or from the defendant's dwelling; and

- b. The defendant is not obliged to retreat in or from the defendant's place of work, unless the defendant
  was the initial aggressor; and
- c. A public officer justified in using force in the performance of the officer's duties, or a person justified in using force in assisting an officer or a person justified in using force in making an arrest or preventing an escape, need not desist from efforts to perform the duty or make the arrest or prevent the escape because of resistance or threatened resistance by or on behalf of the person against whom the action is directed.
- Section 2. Amend § 465, Title 11 of the Delaware Code by making deletions as shown by strike through and
   insertions as shown by underline as follows:
- 32 § 465. Justification Use of force for the protection of other persons.

33 (a) The use of force upon or toward the person of another is justifiable to protect a third person when:

(1) The defendant would have been justified under § 464 of this title in using such force to protect the
 defendant against the injury the defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes to be threatened to the person whom the defendant seeks
 to protect; and

- 37 (2) Under the circumstances as the defendant reasonably believes them to be, the person whom the defendant
   38 seeks to protect would have been justified in using such protective force; and
- 39 (3) The defendant re

(3) The defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes that intervention is necessary for the protection of the other person.

40 (b) Although the defendant would have been obliged under § 464 of this title to retreat, to surrender the
41 possession of a thing or to comply with a demand before using force in self-protection, there is no obligation to do so
42 before using force for the protection of another person, unless the defendant knows that the defendant can thereby secure
43 the complete safety of the other person.

(c) When the person whom the defendant seeks to protect would have been obliged under § 464 of this title to retreat, to surrender the possession of a thing or to comply with a demand if the person knew that the person could obtain complete safety by so doing, the defendant is obliged to try to cause the person to do so before using force in the person's protection if the actor knows that complete safety can be secured in that way.

- (d) Neither the defendant nor the person whom the defendant seeks to protect is obliged to retreat when in theother's dwelling or place of work to any greater extent than in their own.
- 50 Section 3. Amend § 466, Title 11 of the Delaware Code by making deletions as shown by strike through and 51 insertions as shown by underline as follows:
- 52 § 466. Justification Use of force for the protection of property.

- (a) The use of force upon or toward the person of another is justifiable when the defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes that
   such force is immediately necessary:
- (1) To prevent the commission of criminal trespass or burglary in a building or upon real property in the
   defendant's possession or in the possession of another person for whose protection the defendant acts; or
- 57 (2) To prevent entry upon real property in the defendant's possession or in the possession of another person 58 for whose protection the defendant acts; or
- 59 (3) To prevent theft, criminal mischief or any trespassory taking of tangible, movable property in the
   60 defendant's possession or in the possession of another person for whose protection the defendant acts.
- (b) The defendant may in the circumstances named in subsection (a) of this section use such force as the defendant
   reasonably believes is necessary to protect the threatened property, provided that the defendant first requests the person
   against whom force is used to desist from interference with the property, unless the defendant reasonably believes that:
- 64

(1) Such a request would be useless; or

- 65 (2) It would be dangerous to the defendant or another person to make the request; or
- 66 (3) Substantial harm would be done to the physical condition of the property which is sought to be protected
  67 before the request could effectively be made.
- (c) The use of deadly force for the protection of property is justifiable only if the defendant reasonably believes
   that:
- 70 (1) The person against whom the force is used is attempting to dispossess the defendant of the defendant's
  71 dwelling otherwise than under a claim of right to its possession; or
- (2) The person against whom the deadly force is used is attempting to commit arson, burglary, robbery or
   felonious theft or property destruction and either:
- a. Had employed or threatened deadly force against or in the presence of the defendant; or
- b. Under the circumstances existing at the time, the defendant <u>reasonably</u> believed the use of force other
  than deadly force would expose the defendant, or another person in the defendant's presence, to the reasonable
  likelihood of serious physical injury.
- (d) Where a person has used force for the protection of property and has not been convicted for any crime or
   offense connected with that use of force, such person shall not be liable for damages or be otherwise civilly liable to the one
- against whom such force was used.
- 81 Section 4. Amend § 467, Title 11 of the Delaware Code by making deletions as shown by strike through and 82 insertions as shown by underline as follows:

83	§ 467. Justification — Use of force in law enforcement.
84	(a) The use of force upon or toward the person of another is justifiable when:
85	(1) The defendant is making an arrest or assisting in making an arrest and reasonably believes that such force
86	is immediately necessary to effect the arrest; or
87	(2) The defendant is attempting to arrest an individual that has taken a hostage, and refused to comply with an
88	order to release the hostage; and
89	a. The defendant reasonably believes that the use of force is necessary to prevent physical harm to any
90	person taken hostage; or
91	b. The defendant has been ordered by an individual the defendant reasonably believes possesses superior
92	authority or knowledge to apply the use of force.
93	(b) The use of force is not justifiable under this section unless:
94	(1) The defendant makes known the purpose of the arrest or reasonably believes that it is otherwise known or
95	cannot reasonably be made known to the person to be arrested; and
96	(2) When the arrest is made under a warrant, the warrant is valid or <u>reasonably</u> believed by the defendant to be
97	valid; or
98	(3) When the arrest is made without a warrant, the defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes the arrest to be lawful.
99	(c) The use of deadly force is justifiable under this section if all other reasonable means of apprehension have been
100	exhausted, and:
101	(1) The defendant reasonably believes the arrest is for any crime involving physical injury or threat thereof,
102	and the deadly force is directed at a vehicle to disable it for the purpose of effecting the arrest, or the defendant
103	reasonably believes the arrest is for a felony involving physical injury or threat thereof;
104	(2) The defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes that the force employed creates no substantial risk of injury to innocent
105	persons; and
106	(3) The defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes that there is a substantial risk that the person to be arrested will cause
107	death or serious physical injury, or will never be captured if apprehension is delayed.
108	(d) The use of force to prevent the escape of an arrested person from custody is justifiable when the force could
109	justifiably have been employed to effect the arrest under which the person is in custody, except that a guard or other person
110	authorized to act as a peace officer is justified in using any force, including deadly force, which the person reasonably
111	believes to be immediately necessary to prevent the escape of a person from a jail, prison or other institution for the
112	detention of persons charged with or convicted of a crime.
	Page 4 of 0

Page 4 of 9

- (e) The use of force upon or toward the person of another is justifiable when the defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes that such force is immediately necessary to prevent such other person from committing suicide, inflicting serious physical injury upon the person's self or committing a crime involving or threatening physical injury, damage to or loss of property or a breach of the peace, except that the use of deadly force is not justifiable under this subsection unless:
- (1) The defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes that there is a substantial risk that the person whom the defendant seeks
  to prevent from committing a crime will cause death or serious physical injury to another unless the commission of the
  crime is prevented and that the use of deadly force presents no substantial risk of injury to innocent persons; or
- (2) The defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes that the use of deadly force is necessary to suppress a riot or mutiny
  after the rioters or mutineers have been ordered to disperse and warned, in any manner that the law may require, that
  such force will be used if they do not obey.
- (f) The use of deadly force is justifiable under this section if the defendant is attempting to arrest an individual that
  has taken a hostage, and has refused to comply with an order to release the hostage; and
- (1) The defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes that the use of force is necessary to prevent physical harm to any
   person taken hostage, or the defendant has been ordered by an individual the defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes possesses
   superior authority or knowledge to apply the use of force; and
- (2) The defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes that the force employed creates no substantial risk of injury to innocent
   persons; and
- (3) The defendant or a person of superior authority or knowledge who order the use of deadly force
   reasonably believes that there is a substantial risk that the person to be arrested will cause death or serious physical
   injury.
- Section 5. Amend § 468, Title 11 of the Delaware Code by making deletions as shown by strike through and
  insertions as shown by underline as follows:
- 135 § 468. Justification Use of force by persons with special responsibility for care, discipline or safety of others.
- 136 The use of force upon or toward the person of another is justifiable if it is reasonable and moderate and:
- 137 (1) The defendant is the parent, guardian, foster parent, legal custodian or other person similarly responsible
- for the general care and supervision of a child, or a person acting at the request of a parent, guardian, foster parent,
- 139 legal custodian or other responsible person, and:
- a. The force is used for the purpose of safeguarding or promoting the welfare of the child, including the
  prevention or punishment of misconduct; and

142 b. The force used is intended to benefit the child, or for the special purposes listed in paragraphs (2)a., 143 (3)a., (4)a., (5), (6) and (7) of this section. The size, age, condition of the child, location of the force and the 144 strength and duration of the force shall be factors considered in determining whether the force used is reasonable 145 and moderate; but 146 c. The force shall not be justified if it includes, but is not limited to, any of the following: Throwing the 147 child, kicking, burning, cutting, striking with a closed fist, interfering with breathing, use of or threatened use of a 148 deadly weapon, prolonged deprivation of sustenance or medication, or doing any other act that is likely to cause or 149 does cause physical injury, disfigurement, mental distress, unnecessary degradation or substantial risk of serious 150 physical injury or death; or 151 (2) The defendant is a teacher or a person otherwise entrusted with the care or supervision of a child for a 152 special purpose, and: 153 a. The defendant reasonably believes the force used is necessary to further the special purpose, including 154 the maintenance of reasonable discipline in a school, class or other group, and that the use of force is consistent 155 with the welfare of the child; and 156 b. The degree of force, if it had been used by the parent, guardian, foster parent or legal custodian of the 157 child, would be justifiable under paragraph (1)a. and b. of this section and not enumerated under paragraph (1)c. of 158 this section: or 159 (3) The defendant is the guardian or other person similarly responsible for the general care and supervision of 160 a person who is incompetent, and: 161 a. The force is used for the purpose of safeguarding or promoting the welfare of the person who is 162 incompetent, including the prevention of misconduct, or, when such person who is incompetent is in a hospital or 163 other institution for care and custody, for the maintenance of reasonable discipline in such institution; and 164 b. The force used is reasonable and moderate; the size, age, condition of the person who is incompetent, 165 location of the force and the strength and duration of the force shall be factors considered in determining whether 166 the force used is reasonable and moderate; and 167 c. The force is not enumerated under paragraph (1)c. of this section; and 168 d. The force is not proscribed as abuse or mistreatment under Chapter 11 of Title 16; or 169 (4) The defendant is a doctor or other therapist or a person assisting at the doctor's or other therapist's 170 direction, and:

171	a. The force is used for the purpose of administering a recognized form of treatment which the defendant
172	reasonably believes to be adapted to promoting the physical or mental health of the patient; and
173	b. The treatment is administered with the consent of the patient or, if the patient is a minor or a person
174	who is incompetent, with the consent of a parent, guardian or other person legally competent to consent in the
175	patient's behalf, or the treatment is administered in an emergency when the defendant reasonably believes that no
176	one competent to consent can be consulted and that a reasonable person, wishing to safeguard the welfare of the
177	patient, would consent; or
178	(5) The defendant is a warden or other authorized official of a correctional institution, or a superintendent,
179	administrator or other authorized official of the Division of Youth Rehabilitative Service, and:
180	a. The defendant reasonably believes that the force used is necessary for the purpose of enforcing the
181	lawful rules or procedures of the institution; and
182	b. The nature or degree of force used is not forbidden by any statute governing the administration of the
183	institution; and
184	c. If deadly force is used, its use is otherwise justifiable under this Criminal Code; or
185	(6) The defendant is a person responsible for the safety of a vessel or an aircraft or a person acting at the
186	responsible person's direction, and:
187	a. The defendant reasonably believes that the force used is necessary to prevent interference with the
188	operation of the vessel or aircraft or obstruction of the execution of a lawful order; and
189	b. If deadly force is used, its use is otherwise justifiable under this Criminal Code; or
190	(7) The defendant is a person who is authorized or required by law to maintain order or decorum in a vehicle,
191	train or other carrier or in a place where others are assembled, and:
192	a. The defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes that the force used is necessary for such purpose; and
193	b. The force used is not designed to cause or known to create a substantial risk of causing death, physical
194	injury or extreme mental distress.
195	Section 6. Amend § 470, Title 11 of the Delaware Code by making deletions as shown by strike through and
196	insertions as shown by underline as follows:
197	§ 470. Provisions generally applicable to justification.
198	(a) When the defendant <u>reasonably</u> believes that the use of force upon or toward the person of another is necessary
199	for any of the purposes for which such relief would establish a justification under §§ 462-468 of this title but the defendant
200	is reckless or negligent in having such belief or in acquiring or failing to acquire any knowledge or belief which is material
	Degra 7 of 0

201 to the justifiability of the use of force, the justification afforded by those sections is unavailable in a prosecution for an
202 offense for which recklessness or negligence, as the case may be, suffices to establish culpability.

203 (b) When the defendant is justified under §§ 462-468 of this title in using force upon or toward the person of 204 another but the defendant recklessly or negligently injures or creates a risk of injury to innocent persons, the justification 205 afforded by those sections is unavailable in a prosecution for an offense involving recklessness or negligence towards 206 innocent persons.

207 Section 7. Amend § 471, Title 11 of the Delaware Code by making deletions as shown by strike through and 208 insertions as shown by underline as follows:

209 § 471. Definitions relating to justification.

(a) "Deadly force" means force which the defendant uses with the purpose of causing or which the defendant
knows creates a substantial risk of causing death or serious physical<u>-injury.injury, including the use of a chokehold as</u>
"chokehold" is defined under § 607A of this title. Purposely firing a firearm in the direction of another person or at a
vehicle in which another person is believed to be constitutes deadly force. A threat to cause death or serious bodily harm,
by the production of a weapon or otherwise, so long as the defendant's purpose is limited to creating an apprehension that

- 215 deadly force will be used if necessary, does not constitute deadly force.
- 216 (b) "Dwelling" means any building or structure, though movable or temporary, or a portion thereof, which is for
- the time being the defendant's home or place of lodging.
- 218 (c) "Force," in addition to its ordinary meaning, includes confinement.
- 219 (d) "Physical force" means force used upon or directed toward the body of another person.

220 (e)(1) "Reasonably believes", when applied to a defendant who is not a law enforcement officer acting in the

221 officer's official capacity, means holds a belief that is reasonable from the viewpoint of a reasonable person in the

- 222 defendant's situation under the circumstances.
- 223 (2) "Reasonably believes", when applied to a defendant who is a law enforcement officer acting in the

224 officer's official capacity, means holds a belief that is reasonable from the viewpoint of a reasonable law enforcement

225 officer in the defendant's situation under the circumstances.

(e)(f) "Unlawful force" means force which is employed without the consent of the person against whom it is directed and the employment of which constitutes an offense or actionable tort or would constitute such offense or tort except for a defense (such as the absence of intent, negligence or mental capacity; duress; youth; or diplomatic status) not amounting to a privilege to use the force. Assent constitutes consent, within the meaning of this section, whether or not it otherwise is legally effective, except assent to the infliction of death or serious bodily harm.

Page 8 of 9

## **SYNOPSIS**

This Act codifies a reasonableness requirement for the use of force, both non-lethal and lethal. This is important when the state of mind is an issue in a criminal trial, such as when the judge or jury must decide as to what someone believed, knew, or intended at a given time.

The justification law as currently written uses the term "defendant believes" throughout its several sections. This Act makes it clear that the determination of one's state of mind is an objective standard —that is, what a reasonable person would have believed, rather than what the defendant believed.

Additionally, this Act makes clear that deadly force includes the use of a chokehold.

Author: Senator Pinkney